

---

## PSYCHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF REPRODUCTIVE CONSCIOUSNESS AND BEHAVIOR

**Rasulova Nilufar Tulkunovna**

International Islamic Academy of Uzbekistan

Lotos\_411@mail.ru

### ABSTRACT

*This article is devoted to the scientific analysis of socio-psychological and religious factors in the formation of reproductive consciousness and behavior.*

**Keywords:** *psychology, sociology, economics, medicine, reproductive consciousness, reproductive behavior, procreative behavior, generative behavior, demographic behavior.*

### АННОТАЦИЯ

*Статья посвящена научному анализу социально-психологических и религиозных факторов формирования репродуктивного сознания и поведения.*

**Ключевые слова:** *психология, социология, экономика, медицина, репродуктивное сознание, репродуктивное поведение, репродуктивное поведение, генеративное поведение, демографическое поведение.*

The problematic use of the phrase "reproductive behavior of an individual" in psychological research is that, firstly, the understanding of the concept of "behavior" in psychology, especially Soviet psychology, has changed quite often and dramatically; Secondly, the reproduction of another person by a person in various fields of science is called differently - "reproductive behavior", "procreative behavior", "generative behavior", "demographic behavior", etc.

In the historical aspect, the very formulation of the question of reproductive behavior and its structure is quite new. Almost until the 70s. In the twentieth century, the study of fertility and the processes associated with it dispensed with any mention or use of the concept of "behavior", i.e. without using the methods of sociology and social psychology. Despite this, a huge amount of knowledge has been accumulated in various disciplines about certain aspects of human reproductive behavior.

Reproductive behavior is an area of interdisciplinary research, not the subject of any one science. Today, scientific exchange in this area is carried out on an interdisciplinary level: the results obtained by some specialists are interpreted by

others from their point of view, after which there are ways to use new, borrowed knowledge.

The interdisciplinary status of the problem of a person's reproductive behavior requires determining the specifics of his subject in psychology. To highlight psychological issues in the study of reproductive behavior, one should consider what exactly becomes the subject of research in other sciences that study it. Table 1 summarizes the main directions of research on the reproductive behavior of an individual in various sciences.

As can be seen from the table, the range of scientific searches of representatives of different disciplines is very wide. In many cases, the same phenomena are studied, but from different methodological positions and methods of a specific science. Representatives of all of these sciences (except for biology and medicine) study reproductive attitudes, reproductive motives, reproductive rituals and myths, reproductive socialization, and the determinants of the formation of a certain type of reproductive behavior.

The question of the relationship between the two areas of knowledge - sociology and demography is considered in detail by K. Davis in the article "The Sociology of Demographic Behavior".

The author noted that, unlike other areas of sociology, demography as an ordinary science occupies a separate position: it did not stand out from sociology as a field of knowledge and did not form into a special discipline within general sociology.

K. Davis gives examples of studies that successfully combine demographic and sociological approaches: the study of fertility in conjunction with sociological studies of attitudes, motivation, intentions depending on the living conditions and work of a woman (study of motivation for marriage, study of the reasons and factors that affect on the decision to divorce, the study of ideas about the number of children (about the size of the family)); changes in the demographic sphere of society depending on the state of social institutions of marriage, family, economic and social transformations; family in a demographic context.

Today, most researchers recognize the fact that the success of the study of processes in the field of reproductive behavior depends not only on how competently the sociologist uses demographic information, but also on how effectively sociological methodology and methodology are combined with demographic analysis. Demographers who study fertility and nuptiality turn to the sociology of the family and gender sociology, and vice versa: sociologists who study the problems of

family and reproductive behavior use statistical indicators of fertility, nuptiality, and divorce rates.

Judging by the literature of recent years, the interaction of sociology and demography in the study of reproductive behavior and related phenomena is increasing more and more, and it is obvious that interest in this topic will grow.

Analysis of scientific literature has shown that there are many approaches to the study of aspects of reproductive behavior, considering the problem from various theoretical positions, interrelated, and sometimes contradicting one another. Various aspects of the problem are presented in philosophy, sociology, psychology, demography, ethology, cultural studies, medicine.

The internal aspect involves the systematization of the terminological apparatus, the identification of the constitutive features of the terminological system denoting this subject area, the typology of terminological units, the identification of ways of their formation in various conceptual classes, the differentiation of the main and involved classes of concepts; substantiation of the relationship between the structural and semantic features of the considered term system.

The external aspect actualizes the tasks of structuring the subject area of the psychology of reproductive behavior, presenting its logical-conceptual content and developing methodological bases for subsequent research.

#### **LITERATURE:**

1. Назаров А. Психологические особенности руководителей, влияющие на принятие управленческих решений //Молодой ученый. – 2019. – №. 44. – С. 298-300.
2. Nazarov A. S. Psychological analysis of levels of administration of management decisions //Вопросы экономики и управления. – 2020. – №. 3. – С. 1-5.
3. Умаров Б. М., Назаров А. С. Низоли вазиятларда бошқарув қарорларини қабул қилишнинг ташкилий ва ижтимоий-психологик механизмлари //Молодой ученый. – 2020. – №. 7. – С. 352-353.
4. Nazarov A. S. et al. Қарор қабул қилиш бошқарув фаолиятининг психологик мезони сифатида //Молодой ученый. – 2020. – №. 11. – С. 280-282.
5. Назаров А. С. Adoption of management decisions as the main function of the modern manager //Проблемы современной экономики. – 2020. – С. 7-12.
6. Назаров А. С., Худайбергенова З. Socio-psychological features of management decision-making //Молодой ученый. – 2020. – №. 50. – С. 430-432.

7. Назаров А. С. Psychological aspects of managerial decision making //Молодой ученый. – 2020. – №. 44. – С. 45-48.
8. Назаров А. С. Принятие управленческих решений как основная функция современного менеджера //Вопросы экономики и управления. – 2020. – №. 2. – С. 1-5.
9. Nazarov A. S. Psychological foundations of managerial decision-making //Молодой ученый. – 2021. – №. 3. – С. 46-48.
10. Назаров А. С. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF DECISION-MAKING STRATEGIES //Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире. – 2021. – №. 1-7. – С. 79-82.
11. Назаров А. С. и др. ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ РАЗРАБОТКИ И РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ УПРАВЛЕНЧЕСКИХ РЕШЕНИЙ //Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире. – 2021. – №. 2-9. – С. 31-35.
12. Назаров А. Scientific and methodological foundations of the influence of the social and psychological properties of the manager on managerial decisions //Общество и инновации. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 4/S. – С. 523-532.
13. Назаров А. Научно-методические основы влияния социальнопсихологических свойств руководителя на управленческие решения //Общество и инновации. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 4/S. – С. 523-532.
14. Касимова З. Х. Особенности национальных ценностей и межкультурных коммуникаций в содержании гуманитарного образования //Вестник науки и образования. – 2019. – №. 22-3 (76).
15. Khamidovna K. Z. Application of interactive methods in teaching psychology //ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal. – 2020. – Т. 10. – №. 9. – С. 197-205.
16. Касимова З. Х. ПРОЯВЛЕНИЯ МЕЖЛИЧНОСТНОЙ КОММУНИКАЦИИ В ЭПОХУ ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЯ КУЛЬТУР //International scientific review. – 2020. – №. LXX.
17. Умаров Б. М. Неблагополучная семейная микросреда как фактор возникновения отклоняющегося поведения несовершеннолетних детей и подростков //Российский научный журнал «Федерация». – 2008. – №. 8. – С. 51.
18. Умаров Б. М. Социально-психологические проблемы преступности несовершеннолетних в Узбекистане : дис. – Ташкент : автореф. дис. на соискание учен. степени д-ра психол. наук/БМ Умаров, 2009.
19. Умаров Б. М., Ражабова Ф. П. Вояга етмаганларда хулқ оғиши ва тарбия

- бузилишининг ижтимоий-психологик омиллари //Современное образование (Узбекистан). – 2015. – №. 8.
20. Умаров Б.М. ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ РАЗРАБОТКИ И РЕАЛИЗАЦИИ УПРАВЛЕНЧЕСКИХ РЕШЕНИЙ В ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ МЕНЕДЖЕРОВ // Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире. - 2021. - №. 5-9. - С. 50-54.
21. Umarov B. M. et al. THE RELIGIOUS AND SOCIO-PSYCHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES //The Light of Islam. – 2020. – Т. 2020. – №. 1. – С. 122-127.
22. Umarov B. CONDITIONS OF ENSURING THE INFORMATION-PSYCHOLOGICAL SECURITY OF THE PERSON //The Light of Islam. – 2019. – Т. 2019. – №. 4. – С. 33.
23. Расулева Н. Т. ПСИХОЛОГИЯ ИСЛАМСКОЙ ЛИЧНОСТИ //XLIII INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC AND PRACTICAL CONFERENCE" INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC REVIEW OF THE PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS OF MODERN SCIENCE AND EDUCATION". – 2018. – С. 123-124.
24. Khamidovna K. Z. et al. Psychological Aspects Of The Development Of Ecological Ideas Among Students //Psychology and Education Journal. – 2021. – Т. 58. – №. 2. – С. 7812-7818.
25. Rasulova N., Ulmasova D. THE IMPORTANCE OF FOLLOWING THE PROFESSIONAL AND ETHICAL PRINCIPLES OF THE PSYCHOLOGIST IN THE PROVISION OF PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES //The Light of Islam. – 2020. – Т. 2020. – №. 3. – С. 192-200.
26. Rasulova N. Т. Ўзбек оилаларида репродуктив фаолиятнинг тарихий илдизлари //Молодой ученый. – 2020. – №. 8. – С. 343-345.
27. Расулова Н. Т. ВАЖНОЕ ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКОЙ ЭТИКИ В ЛИЧНОСТИ УЧИТЕЛЯ //International scientific review. – 2020. – №. LXXIV.
28. Rasulova N. Psychological characteristics of surgeons in a state of occupational stress //Bridge to science: research works. – 2019. – С. 154.
29. Nilufar R., Jakhongir I. Psychological interpretation of managerial phenomenon in Islamic sources //Bridge to science: research works. – 2019. – С. 150-153.
30. Дусмухамедова Ш.А. Психологические особенности педагогической оценки в обучении детей шестилетнего возраста: Автореф. дис.... канд. психол. наук. М., 1987. 24 с : Канд дис. – М.: НИИ АПН СССР, 1988.–162 с, 1987.
31. Дусмухамедова Ш., Салиева Д., Максимова Л. А. Соотношение уровня развития академической самостоятельности младших школьников с

---

показателями учебной мотивации и стилем педагогической деятельности (на примере учащихся начальных школ Узбекистана) //Педагогическое образование в России. – 2020. – №. 2. – С. 77-83.

32. Дусмухамедов О. С., Дусмухамедова Ш. А. ЎЗБЕКИСТОН АҲОЛИСИНИНГ МОЛИЯВИЙ САВОДХОНЛИГИНИ ОШИРИШ ИСТИҚБОЛЛАРИ ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ ПОВЫШЕНИЯ ФИНАНСОВОЙ ГРАМОТНОСТИ НАСЕЛЕНИЯ УЗБЕКИСТАНА //ББК 74.48 Р 76. – С. 193.

33. Назаров А. С., Митина О. В. ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ПРИНЯТИЯ УПРАВЛЕНЧЕСКИХ РЕШЕНИЙ //Наука и мир. – 2020. – №. 12-1. – С. 73-75.