

EFFECT OF FISCAL POLICY ON SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AND POVERTY REDUCTION IN UZBEKISTAN

Davletova Durdon

Assistant teacher

at the Department of International Business Management
at the Tashkent State University of Economics

ABSTRACT

This article discusses the need for capital resources to ensure sustainable economic growth, the formation and development of capital in the economy of our country and effect of fiscal policy on sustainable growth and poverty reduction in Uzbekistan.

Keywords: capital resources, stability, economic growth, efficiency, government, mechanism, investment.

АННОТАЦИЯ

В данной статье рассматривается потребность в капитальных ресурсах для обеспечения устойчивого экономического роста, формирование и развитие капитала в экономике нашей страны и влияние фискальной политики на устойчивый рост и сокращение бедности в Узбекистане.

Ключевые слова: капитальные ресурсы, устойчивость, экономический рост, эффективность, государство, механизм, инвестиции.

INTRODUCTION

Measures such as further improvement of macroeconomic policy, stabilization of the economy in the context of economic modernization, expansion of structural changes and diversification of the national economy are important in ensuring sustainable economic growth. The state programs adopted in the implementation of economic reforms in our country, the solution of imbalances in the structural structure of the economy, the improvement of the sectoral structure of the economy and the development of its priorities are important tasks facing our country. It should be noted that achieving economic growth, ensuring its sustainable and optimal pace is one of the priorities not only for Uzbekistan but for all countries of the world. Because economic growth is one of the main indicators of a country's strength and well-being.

During the years of independence, our country has made great strides in developing the economy and improving the welfare of the population. In particular, the stability of economic growth, the results of the country's development in recent

years show the validity of the above data. Our country has been experiencing economic growth of 5-8% for several years. However, it is very difficult to achieve economic growth in a market economy. Because all subjects use the opportunities created by economic liberalism differently. As a result, it becomes more difficult to assess the real state of the economy. Although many models have been developed for this purpose, it is difficult to apply them directly to the economy. Therefore, in order to achieve economic growth, economic balance must be established.

Modern fiscal policy determines the main directions for the use of the state's financial resources, methods of financing and the main sources of replenishment of the budget. Fiscal policy is a very powerful weapon. Some economists argue that it is, like the atomic bomb, too powerful a weapon to allow individuals and governments to play with, so it would be better if fiscal policy never came into play. Nevertheless, it is quite certain that in every country fiscal policy brought into play as soon as the country enters a state of crisis and instability. There is no other choice but to try to steer fiscal policy in a healthy direction rather than a harmful one. Any government always pursues some fiscal policy, whether it realizes it or not. The real question is whether this policy will be constructive or whether it will be unconscious and inconsistent.

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The study of fiscal policy today is very relevant. Further socio-economic development in Uzbekistan largely depends on the state fiscal policy. One of the most important factors influencing the main macroeconomic parameters is the active use of fiscal instruments in the process of regulating the socio-economic proportions of territorial and sectoral development. The importance of government spending and taxes in ensuring macroeconomic stability is due to the ability consciously and purposefully determine their impact on economic processes.

One of the main factors hindering the growth of production activity in the conditions of the formation of capitalism in the Republic of Uzbekistan was an irrational fiscal policy. The tax burden imposed by the state was clearly excessive and did not allow production structures to conduct normal economic activities. Such fiscal pressure entailed a number of negative phenomena:

First, entrepreneurial activity turned out to be constrained.

Secondly, many cost-intensive industries, the most important and priority ones from a national standpoint automatically cut off from profitable areas of activity and

began to stagnate. As a result, a kind of structural degradation of the Uzbekistan economy took place.

Thirdly, significant tax pressure by stimulating payment evasion and the development of the shadow sector of the economy exacerbated the country's budgetary problems. Obviously, these problems were largely due to the unsatisfactory functioning of the fiscal mechanism of Uzbekistan.

There are a large number of definitions of fiscal policy in the literature, so it seems necessary to consider the main ones.

Under the fiscal policy of the state is understood the constant intervention of the state in economic processes and phenomena in order to regulate their course. In addition, the fiscal policy of the state is understood as a set of measures in the field of taxation aimed at forming the revenue side of the state budget, improving the efficiency of the functioning of the entire national economy, ensuring economic growth, employment and stability of money circulation.

Fiscal policy is a system for regulating the economy through changes in government spending, taxes, and the state budget in order to change real output and employment, control inflation, and accelerate economic growth. Fiscal policy both beneficially and quite painfully affect the stability of the national economy.

Fiscal policy – a set of financial measures of the state to regulate government spending and revenues to achieve certain socio-economic goals. The need for the development and systematic implementation of fiscal policy intensified, especially in the second half of the XX century, when state finances began to play a significant role in ensuring stable economic growth.

Fiscal policy as a way of financial regulation of the economy carried out with the help of powerful levers of taxation and government spending. In this regard, economic, two types of fiscal policy are distinguished: discretionary and automatic (non-discretionary).

Both discretionary and automatic fiscal policies play an important role in stabilization efforts, but neither is a panacea for all economic ills.

Discretionary policy refers to the deliberate manipulation of taxes and government spending in order to change real national output and employment, control inflation, and boost economic growth.

Depending on the state of the economy and the goals facing the government, fiscal policy can be stimulating (expansionary) and restraining (restrictive).

Stimulating fiscal policy carried out during a recession, depression, includes an increase in government spending, tax cuts and leads to a budget deficit.

Consider Fig. 1, where we assume that a sharp decline in investment spending has led to a shift taken together demand curve in the economy from AD1 to AD2. Perhaps the prospects for profits from investment projects have become vaguer, so investment spending and aggregate demand have fallen significantly. As a result, real GDP decreased from 505 billion s. – a value close to the level of production at full employment – up to 485 billion s. This is a drop in real production by 20 billion s. accompanied by an increase in unemployment, since less labor is required to produce a smaller volume of output.




Fig. 1. Stimulating fiscal policy

Such an economy is in a recession phase with accompanying cyclical unemployment. What should the government do under these circumstances? It has three main fiscal policy options:

- ✓ Increase in government spending;
- ✓ Tax cuts;
- ✓ A combination of the first two options. If the budget is balanced, then fiscal policy during a recession should be aimed at creating a budget deficit, that is, at an excess of government spending over tax revenues.

Increase in government spending. Ceteris paribus, an increase in government spending will shift the aggregate demand curve to the right, as shown in Fig.1, – from AD₂ to AD₁. To understand why this is happening, assume that the government

allocates an additional UZS 5billion to build roads, satellite communications systems, etc. to ease the downturn. We represent this additional UZS 5billion in government spending as a horizontal line between AD_2 and the dashed downward line to the right of AD_2 . At any price level, the real volume of the manufactured product, for which demand presented (demand value), becomes 5billion soums more than before the increase in government spending.

However, the aggregate demand curve shifts to the right, to AD_1 , i.e., demand increases by more than 5billion sums of additional government spending. This is because the multiplier effect multiplies the initial change in demand with each successive cycle of new consumer spending. If the marginal propensity to consume (MPC) in the economy is 0.75, then the simple multiplier is four. The aggregate demand curve shifts to the right by a distance 4 times the length of the segment, which represents an increase in government spending by UZS 5billion. This increase in aggregate demand occurs within the horizontal segment of the aggregate supply curve, so the multiplier is in full force and real output quadruples. Note that real output jumped to 505 billion rubles, that is, increased by 20billion soums compared to the level of 485 billion soums observed during the recession. Simultaneously, unemployment is falling as firms re-hire workers laid off during the downturn.

Tax cuts. There is also an alternative way: in order for the aggregate demand curve to shift to the right from AD_2 to AD_1 , the government can cut taxes. Suppose the government cut personal income tax by UZS 6.67 billion, resulting in an increase in disposable income by the same amount. Consumption will increase by UZS 5 billion (= $MPC\ 0.75 \cdot UZS\ 6.67\ billion$) and savings will increase by UZS 1.67 billion (= $GNP\ 0.25 \cdot UZS\ 6.67\ billion$).

In this case, the horizontal segment between AD_2 and the dashed downward line in Fig. 1 represents the original increase in consumer spending, equal to 5 billion rubles. Again, we are talking about the “initial” or “original” increase in consumer spending, because due to the multiplier effect, it increases in subsequent spending cycles. The aggregate demand curve will shift to the right by four times the initial increase in consumption of 5 billion UZS due to tax cuts. Real GDP will increase by 20 billion soums – from 485 billion soums to 505 billion soums, which means that the multiplier is four. Employment will increase accordingly.

For the same shift of the aggregate demand curve to the right, it is necessary to reduce taxes by a greater amount than to increase government spending. This is because some portion of the tax cut causes an increase in savings rather than consumption. To increase initial consumption by a certain amount, the government

must cut taxes by more than that amount. With a MPC equal to 0.75, for a future increase in consumption by 5 billion rubles. 6.67 billion rubles should reduce taxes, since 1.67 billion rubles goes to savings (rather than consumption). If the MPC were, say, 0.6, then for an initial increase in consumption by 5 billion rubles. Taxes should have been cut by 8.33 billion soums. The smaller the MPC, the more tax cuts are required to achieve a certain increase in consumption and a certain shift taken together demand curve.

A combination of increased government spending and tax cuts. The government can simultaneously increase government spending and cut taxes to generate the desired initial increase in spending and ultimately increase aggregate demand and real GDP. In the economy shown in Fig. 1, the state could increase its spending by UZS 1.25 billion and at the same time reduce taxes by UZS 5 billion. This combination will lead to the desired result – an initial increase in costs by 5 billion soums.

CONCLUSION

Thus, when carrying out work to reduce fiscal policy, carried out during the period of paper-inflation, it includes a reduction in government spending, an increase in taxes and leads to a surplus in the state budget, so fiscal policy can focus on either maneuvering spending or changing taxes. The choice of fiscal policy instrument depends on the general course pursued by the government. If this is a "liberal" course, which implies broad participation of the state in the regulation of a mixed economy, then preference is given to public spending; if a "conservative" course is pursued, focused on the impact of fiscal policy on sustainable growth and poverty reduction in Uzbekistan, and also on narrowing the role of the state and creating a purely market mechanism, then tax changes are more widely used to stabilize the economy. Economic growth alone is not able to provide evenly favorable conditions for all segments of the population. Therefore, any strategy aimed at long-term economic development should take into account all the shortcomings of the market system and aim at a parallel policy of supporting vulnerable segments of the population in the form of improving working conditions, improving the quality of education, reducing corruption, etc.

REFERENCES

1. <https://lex.uz/docs/4778531> [Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, dated March 26, 2020 No. DP-5975]

2. Berdiyeva U.A. Ways to improve the quality of tax control in order to increase tax collection. // Scientific electronic journal "International Finance and Accounting", №4, 2020;
3. Gál Marián, Tóth Peter. Fiscal policy in ensuring the financial stability // Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, MCSER Publishing, Rome-Italy. Vol 6, 2020.
4. Khamidov B. Why parallel policies are needed to improve the conditions of the poor. Expert opinion//AN Podrobno.uz.
5. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/ru/sustainable-development-goals/>