SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

# LIES AND DISINFORMATION: UNRAVELING THE WEB OF COGNITIVE MANIPULATION AND MANIPULATION IN SOCIAL NETWORKS

### Salomov Suhrob Muzaffar ugli

National University of Uzbekistan suhrobsalomov80@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

This article describes the intricate web of cognitive manipulation deployed through various mediums on social platforms, exploring the methods, consequences, and societal impact of deceptive practices. From the deliberate spread of misinformation to the exploitation of philosophical vulnerabilities, this analysis seeks to unravel the multifaceted nature of manipulation in social networks. By examining real-world examples and the far-reaching consequences, it sheds light on the erosion of trust, the polarization of societies, and the critical need for heightened awareness and digital literacy

**Key words:** lies, disinformation, manipulation, fact-checking, illusory truth effect, cognitive mechanisms, political manipulation, soft power.

### **АННОТАЦИЯ**

В этой статье описывается сложная сеть когнитивных манипуляций, развернутых с помощью различных средств на социальных платформах, исследуются методы, последствия и социальное воздействие обманных практик. Этот анализ направлен на то, чтобы раскрыть многогранную природу манипуляций в социальных сетях, начиная от преднамеренного распространения дезинформации и заканчивая эксплуатацией философских уязвимостей. Анализируя примеры из реальной жизни и далеко идущие последствия, автор проливает свет на эрозию доверия, поляризацию обществ и острую потребность в повышении осведомленности и цифровой грамотности.

**Ключевые слова:** ложь, дезинформация, манипуляция, проверка фактов, эффект иллюзорной реальности, когнитивный механизм, политическая манипуляция, мягкая сила.

#### INTRODUCTION

The dictionary of the American Psychological Association defines manipulation as follows behavior designed to exploit, control, or otherwise influence others to one's advantage.[1]



SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

In an age dominated by information, the manipulation of minds has taken on new dimensions. Lies and disinformation, wielded as potent tools, have become central to a pervasive cognitive mechanism that can shape perceptions, influence decisions, and even alter the course of societies. Manipulation in social networks can take various forms, from subtle persuasion to more overt and malicious tactics. This article delves into the intricate web of lies and disinformation, exploring how they function as cognitive mechanisms to manipulate minds.

### **METHODS**

One of the most pervasive forms of manipulation involves the spread of fake news and disinformation. False narratives can be strategically crafted and disseminated through social networks to influence public opinion, sow discord, or advance a particular agenda. For instance, during elections, false stories about candidates or misleading information about voting processes can be circulated to manipulate voter behavior.

Lies and disinformation are not merely false statements; they are deliberate distortions of reality intended to mislead. [2] While lies are straightforward falsehoods, disinformation involves the dissemination of false information with the intent to deceive. Both exploit cognitive vulnerabilities in the human mind, exploiting biases, emotions, and pre-existing beliefs.

While scientific literature provides insights into the mechanisms and impacts of manipulation, it's important to note that "opinions" of scientists can vary, and individual researchers may approach the topic from different perspectives based on their specific areas of expertise. [3] However, some general perspectives and consensus points emerge from the scientific community regarding manipulation:

Scientists generally agree that manipulation is a complex psychological phenomenon rooted in cognitive, emotional, and social processes. Research often focuses on understanding the psychological mechanisms that make individuals susceptible to manipulation.

Many scientists express concerns about the ethical implications of manipulation. Discussions often revolve around issues such as informed consent, autonomy, and the potential harm caused by manipulative behaviors, especially in fields like psychology and neuroscience.

Researchers recognize that manipulation occurs in various contexts, including interpersonal relationships, politics, advertising, media. and The more. manipulation's acknowledgment of ubiquity underscores for the need interdisciplinary research to address its multifaceted nature. [4]



SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

There is a growing trend toward interdisciplinary approaches to studying manipulation. Researchers from diverse fields, including psychology, sociology, neuroscience, communication studies, and ethics, collaborate to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.

Many scientists advocate for media literacy and educational programs to empower individuals to recognize and resist manipulation. This perspective emphasizes the role of education in fostering critical thinking skills and resilience against manipulative tactics, especially in the age of information overload. [5]

### **RESULTS**

Manipulation as a cognitive mechanism has a number of characteristic features, for example:

- 1. Confirmation Bias: People tend to seek out information that aligns with their existing beliefs and values, reinforcing their worldview. Lies and disinformation capitalize on confirmation bias, providing individuals with distorted narratives that confirm their preconceptions.
- 2. Emotional Manipulation: Lies often trigger emotional responses, whether it be fear, anger, or joy. Disinformation strategically exploits these emotions to cloud rational judgment, making individuals more susceptible to manipulation. [6]
- 3. Illusory Truth Effect: The more we are exposed to a piece of information, the more likely we are to believe it, regardless of its veracity. Lies and disinformation leverage the illusory truth effect, bombarding individuals with repeated falsehoods until they become accepted as truth. [7]
- 4. Social Influence: Humans are social beings, and our beliefs are often shaped by those around us. Lies and disinformation spread through social networks, taking advantage of the influence of peers, leaders, and influencers to gain credibility and acceptance.

Political Manipulation: Lies and disinformation play a pivotal role in political strategies. From smear campaigns to propaganda, the distortion of facts can sway public opinion, influence elections, and undermine trust in democratic institutions. [7]

Psychological Warfare: In conflicts, lies and disinformation are employed as weapons of psychological warfare. By sowing confusion, creating distrust, and manipulating perceptions, adversaries can weaken the resolve of their opponents.

Economic Impacts: In the age of globalization, lies and disinformation can have significant economic repercussions. False narratives about companies, industries, or financial markets can lead to panic, market volatility, and economic instability.

SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

### **DISCUSSIONS**

Educating individuals about the mechanisms of lies and disinformation is crucial. Media literacy programs can empower people to critically evaluate information, discern credible sources, and identify manipulation tactics. [8] Fact-Checking, the rise of fact-checking organizations plays a pivotal role in debunking falsehoods. Platforms, news outlets, and individuals should actively engage in fact-checking to counter the spread of lies and disinformation. Ethical Journalism, upholding journalistic ethics is essential in the fight against misinformation. Journalists must prioritize accuracy, objectivity, and transparency to maintain public trust and counteract the damaging effects of lies and disinformation. Astroturfing is the practice of creating fake grassroots movements or online communities to give the illusion of widespread support for a particular cause or viewpoint. [8] This manipulation technique aims to influence public perception by fabricating the appearance of organic support. Companies or interest groups may employ astroturfing to bolster their image or discredit opposing viewpoints. Social engineering involves manipulating individuals into divulging information or taking specific actions. In the context of social networks, hackers or malicious actors may employ psychological tactics to exploit users' trust and gain access to sensitive data. Phishing scams, for example, often rely on social engineering techniques to deceive users into clicking on malicious links or providing personal information. Algorithmic Manipulation: Social media platforms use complex algorithms to curate users' feeds and recommend content. Manipulators can exploit these algorithms to amplify certain messages or suppress others.

This can create echo chambers, where users are exposed to a limited range of perspectives, reinforcing their existing beliefs and attitudes. Cambridge Analytica Scandal: The Cambridge Analytica scandal in 2018 exposed how personal data from millions of Facebook users were harvested without their consent to create targeted political advertisements. [9] The data was used to build psychological profiles and influence voters during the 2016 U.S. presidential election, raising concerns about the misuse of personal information for political manipulation. Russian Disinformation Campaigns: Various instances have been reported where state actors, such as Russia, have orchestrated disinformation campaigns on social networks to influence global events. These campaigns often involve the creation of fake accounts, spreading divisive content, and exploiting existing social and political tensions. [10]

### **CONCLUSION**

In short, manipulation can have a number of negative consequences, for example



SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

- 1. Erosion of Trust: Manipulation in social networks erodes trust among users, as they become increasingly skeptical of the information they encounter online. The prevalence of misinformation and deceptive practices can diminish the credibility of social platforms and the content shared on them.
- 2. Polarization and Division: Manipulation contributes to the polarization of society by amplifying extreme viewpoints and stifling moderate voices. This can lead to the creation of echo chambers where individuals are only exposed to information that aligns with their existing beliefs, deepening societal divisions.
- 3. Privacy Concerns: The misuse of personal data in manipulation tactics raises significant privacy concerns. Users may become more reluctant to share information online, impacting the functioning of social networks and limiting their potential for positive interactions.

As lies and disinformation continue to permeate our information landscape, understanding their cognitive mechanisms becomes imperative. By recognizing the tactics employed and actively working to counteract them, individuals and societies can guard against manipulation, fostering a more informed and resilient public discourse. The battle against cognitive manipulation requires a collective commitment to truth, critical thinking, and ethical communication.

As social networks continue to play a central role in shaping public discourse, it is crucial to be aware of the manipulative tactics employed within these platforms. Users must develop critical thinking skills and exercise caution to navigate the digital landscape responsibly. Additionally, social media companies must remain vigilant in combating manipulation, implementing robust security measures, and fostering transparency to create a safer online environment for users worldwide.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. "Manipulation". APA Dictionary of Psychology. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. n.d. Retrieved 10 October 2021.
- 2. Lynam, Donald R.; Vachon, David D. (2012). "Antisocial personality disorder in DSM-5: Missteps and missed opportunities". Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment. **3** (4): 483–495. doi:10.1037/per0000006. ISSN 1949-2723. PMID 23106185.
- 3. Potter NN (April 2006). "What is manipulative behavior, anyway?". Journal of Personality Disorders. **20** (2): 139–156. doi:10.1521/pedi.2006.20.2.139. PMID 16643118.
- 4. Brennan D. "Signs of Emotional Manipulation". www.webmd.com. WebMD. Retrieved 23 November 2020.



SJIF 2024 = 6.131 / ASI Factor = 1.7

(E)ISSN: 2181-1784 4(01), Jan., 2024 www.oriens.uz

- 5. Bereczkei T (2018). Machiavellianism: the psychology of manipulation. Abingdon, Oxon. ISBN 978-1-138-09328-7. OCLC 991673448.
- 6. Ngoc NN, Tuan NP, Takahashi Y (October 2020). "A Meta-Analytic Investigation of the Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and Emotional Manipulation". SAGE

  Open. 10 (4): 215824402097161. doi:10.1177/2158244020971615. ISSN 2158-

215824402097161. doi:10.1177/2158244020971615. ISSN 2158-2440. S2CID 228806921.

- 7. Simon GK (1996). In Sheep's Clothing: Understanding and Dealing with Manipulative People. Parkhurst Brothers, Incorporated, Publishers. ISBN 978-1-935166-30-6. (reference for the entire section).
- 8. Duncan RD. "Influence Versus Manipulation: Understand The Difference". Forbes. Retrieved 21 December 2018.
- 9. <u>https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/04/us/politics/cambridge-analytica-scandal-fallout.html</u>
- 10. Staff writer(s). "On Manipulation with the Borderline Personality". ToddlerTime Network. Retrieved 28 December 2014.