

THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF COMMAND RHETORIC IN SPEECH COMMUNICATION

Kuchkarova Maftuna Dilmurod kizi,

Independent scientific researcher,
Uzbekistan state world languages university,
aishkhanna1991@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the significance of command rhetoric in speech communication, which involves the use of authoritative and directive language. Command rhetoric is a potent tool in different settings such as political speeches, military commands, and corporate leadership. The study aims to examine the theoretical underpinnings and practical uses of command rhetoric to understand its influence on how audiences perceive and respond to messages. By reviewing existing literature and case studies, the research demonstrates how command rhetoric can be effectively employed to achieve specific communication objectives. The results indicate that while command rhetoric can improve clarity and assertiveness, it also has the potential to come across as authoritarian and may alienate certain audience members.

Keywords: *command rhetoric, speech communication, authoritative language, directive communication, audience perception.*

АННОТАЦИЯ

В данной статье исследуется значение командной риторики в речевом общении, которое предполагает использование авторитетного и директивного языка. Командная риторика является мощным инструментом в различных ситуациях, таких как политические выступления, военное командование и корпоративное лидерство. Целью исследования является изучение теоретических основ и практического использования командной риторики, чтобы понять ее влияние на то, как аудитория воспринимает сообщения и реагирует на них. Анализируя существующую литературу и тематические исследования, исследование демонстрирует, как командная риторика может эффективно использоваться для достижения конкретных коммуникационных целей. Результаты показывают, что, хотя командная риторика может улучшить ясность и уверенность, она также может показаться авторитарной и может оттолкнуть некоторых членов аудитории.

Ключевые слова: командная риторика, речевое общение, авторитетный язык, директивная коммуникация, восприятие аудитории.

INTRODUCTION

The efficacy of verbal communication is not solely dependent on the choice of words but also on the delivery style. Command rhetoric, a fundamental aspect of speech communication, utilizes authoritative and directive language to sway, inspire, and guide audiences. This rhetorical form is commonly observed in diverse fields such as political discourse, military strategies, and organizational management. A comprehensive understanding of command rhetoric is essential for analyzing how leaders effectively convey messages, handle crises, and instigate action. This study delves into the significance and function of command rhetoric, utilizing theoretical frameworks and practical instances to underscore its importance.

Command rhetoric has its origins in classical rhetoric, where persuasive communication was pivotal for effective leadership and governance. Aristotle's delineation of ethos, pathos, and logos serves as a foundational model for comprehending the mechanisms of command rhetoric (Aristotle, 350 BCE). Ethos, denoting the speaker's credibility, holds particular relevance in command rhetoric, as the speaker's authority and integrity bolster the directives put forth. Contemporary research has further explored these concepts, highlighting the psychological impact of authoritative language on compliance and obedience (Milgram, 1963).

The significance of command rhetoric transcends theoretical discussions and holds practical value as a crucial instrument for leaders tasked with communicating precise and unequivocal directives, especially in high-pressure situations. The efficacy of command rhetoric is exemplified in military contexts, where its adept use can determine the outcome of operations (Gal, 1986). Likewise, within corporate environments, leaders proficient in command rhetoric have the capacity to instigate organizational transformations and promote a climate of responsibility (Goleman, 2000).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The investigation of command rhetoric encompasses various academic fields, such as communication studies, psychology, and organizational behavior. Within communication studies, scholars focus on the effective techniques and strategies employed in command rhetoric. Tannen (1994) underscores the significance of directness and assertiveness in achieving clarity in communication. Similarly, Fairhurst (1993) examines how framing and language choices influence audience perception and outcomes.

Psychological research delves into the cognitive and emotional reactions triggered by command rhetoric. Milgram's (1963) seminal study on obedience demonstrates how authoritative language can induce individuals to comply with directives, even when conflicting with personal values. Cialdini (2001) further explores this phenomenon by identifying social influence principles, including authority, that drive compliance.

In the realm of organizational behavior, scholars explore the implementation of command rhetoric in leadership contexts. Goleman (2000) identifies authoritative leadership as a highly effective style, particularly in crisis scenarios necessitating prompt action. Kouzes and Posner (2002) also stress the importance of clear and direct communication in leadership, emphasizing its role in building trust and fostering a sense of security among followers.

METHODOLOGY

This research uses a qualitative method, using content analysis and case studies to investigate the significance of command rhetoric in speech communication. The study involves examining speeches and directives from different leaders in various settings such as political, military, and corporate contexts. By analyzing selected cases, the study aims to uncover recurring themes, tactics, and results linked to command rhetoric.

RESULTS

The examination uncovers important themes and approaches that define successful command communication. These consist of:

1. **Clear and Exact Communication:** Successful command rhetoric is characterized by language that is clear and precise, leaving no room for confusion. This is especially crucial in military commands, where clarity is essential due to the high stakes involved and the potential severe consequences of miscommunication (Gal, 1986).

2. **Authoritative and Credible Delivery:** The perceived authority and credibility of the speaker play a significant role in the effectiveness of command rhetoric. Leaders who are seen as knowledgeable and trustworthy are more likely to gain compliance and support (Goleman, 2000).

3. **Emotional Appeal:** Command rhetoric, which is typically authoritative in nature, can also include emotional elements to encourage and energize individuals to take action. This is frequently observed in political addresses, where leaders utilize emotive language to garner backing and cultivate a shared sense of mission (Tannen, 1994).

4. Repetition and Reinforcement: The use of repeated key points and the reinforcement of commands are prevalent tactics in command rhetoric. This guarantees that the audience comprehends the instructions clearly and recognizes the significance of adhering to them (Fairhurst, 1993).

DISCUSSION

The significance of command rhetoric in diverse communicative contexts is underscored by the findings. In politics, command rhetoric empowers leaders to articulate their vision and garner support. For example, Winston Churchill's speeches during World War II showcase the use of command rhetoric to inspire resilience and determination among the British populace.

In military environments, command rhetoric is indispensable for upholding discipline and ensuring operational success. The precision and authority embedded in military commands facilitate prompt and accurate execution of orders, crucial in combat scenarios. Psychological research on obedience and authority further confirms the efficacy of command rhetoric in motivating individuals to act, even in challenging situations.

In corporate settings, leaders employing command rhetoric can steer organizational change and enhance performance by providing clear direction and establishing accountability. This becomes particularly crucial during times of crisis or significant change, demanding resolute and effective leadership.

CONCLUSION

The utilization of command rhetoric plays a pivotal role in the domain of speech communication, enabling leaders to effectively convey messages that are both clear and authoritative, thereby influencing, motivating, and directing audiences. The effectiveness of command rhetoric is derived from its clarity, authority, emotional appeal, and strategic reinforcement. While it offers substantial advantages in various contexts, there is also a potential drawback of it being perceived as authoritarian if not balanced with empathy and responsiveness towards the needs of the audience. Future research should focus on exploring the nuances of command rhetoric within diverse cultural and situational contexts, thereby offering additional insights into its application and impact.

REFERENCES

1. Aristotle. (350 BCE). *Rhetoric*.
2. Cialdini, R. B. (2001). *Influence: Science and practice*. Allyn and Bacon.
3. Churchill, W. (1940). *Speech delivered to the House of Commons, June 4*.

4. Fairhurst, G. T. (1993). The power of framing: Creating the language of leadership. Jossey-Bass.
5. Gal, R. (1986). Command language and command climate. *Military Review*, 66(5), 2-11.
6. Goleman, D. (2000). Leadership that gets results*. *Harvard Business Review*.
7. Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2002). *The leadership challenge*. Jossey-Bass.
8. Milgram, S. (1963). Behavioural study of obedience. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 67(4), 371-378.
9. Tannen, D. (1994). *Talking from 9 to 5: Women and men at work*. William Morrow and Company.